

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/831,004	Applicant(s) TACK ET AL.	
	Examiner LIEN TM NGO	Art Unit 3727	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) LIEN TM NGO.

(3) _____.

(2) MATTHEW FITZPATRICK (Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

A proposed claim amendment, as suggest by examiner, is faxed to the examiner for permitting the examiner to do examiner's amendment

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LIEN M. NGO
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)